



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

างרת אורהות עולם, ושם היה אפיטען ניבור בפקודת פרידריך:—
the Prague edition (1793) of Abraham Farisols
the following lines (p. 206):—
ה חמישי זל מלך דענימארק וזה שלשים שנה וגס הוא מצא ביעמאן יהודים
רבים ובעיר המלוכה סאנא יש בכמו אלפיים בעלי בתים והרבה דברים
עליהן:

W. BACHER.

Budapest, September, 1892.

"*Apodasmo Judæorum capta* is unintelligible" wrote the late Professor Grätz (JEWISH QUARTERLY REVIEW, Vol. III., p. 207, note 1). Yet a glance at Liddell and Scott will remove the difficulty. *ἀποδασμός*, δ, (*ἀποδατέομαι*), *a division, part of a whole*. Thuc. i. 12, gives exactly the sense required; and the Latin writer who has transliterated this word instead of translating it, may easily have altered the gender. "*Apodasmo Judæorum capta*" in S. Jerome is thus exactly equivalent to "*partem aliquam de . . . Judæis . . . cepit*" in the Armenian version recast into Latin; and to the Greek of Syncellus, *μερικὴν* (comp. Cheyne, JEWISH QUARTERLY REVIEW, Vol. IV., p. 108, n. 2: *μικρὴν* as quoted by Grätz, III., 208, n. 1) *αἰχμαλωσίαν εἰλεν Ἰουδαίων*, Cp. Zech. xiv. 2.

It occurs to me to add that St. Jerome probably mistook *ἀποδασμός* for the name of a place, and that his words must be understood "*Apodasmo [scilicet urbe] Judæorum capta*." (Compare Graetz's footnote.) This explains both the transliteration and the change of gender.

While on this subject, I should like to add a suggestion with regard to Is. lxvi., which Cheyne in the article above cited refers to the same occasion (JEWISH QUARTERLY REVIEW, IV. 120). Some of the difficulties of this hypothesis would perhaps be removed if vv. 7-14 ("Before she travailed . . . comforted in Jerusalem,") were regarded as a *pericope* entirely distinct from the context in which it now occurs. These verses have nothing in common as to subject-matter with those which immediately precede and follow them. They actually interrupt the evident connection between vv. 5, 6, and v. 15; and (if an English reader may be allowed to submit to the judgment of others his own impressions of style) they might well be ascribed, like chapter xxxv. and Jer. x. 1-16, to the principal author of Is. xl.-lxii., the so-called "Second Isaiah."

Zechariah xii. 2 : The three clauses following " *Thus saith the Lord.*" Is this passage an interpolation by the same hand as Amos iv. 13 ; v. 8, 9 ; and ix. 5, 6 ?

Is. xi. 10 : Is not this verse a gloss, *explaining* ver. 12 by reference to ver. 1 ? The last clause seems to repeat the idea of iv. 5 ; and the whole verse, otiose in itself, breaks the connection between 1-9 and its sequel 11-16.

G. H. SKIPWITH.

Tobit and Genesis.

THERE is a general consensus of opinion that the book of Tobit was written with a tendency. But when that has been granted, the unanimity between critics is ended. The prevalent view probably is that Tobit was intended as a recommendation of active piety, a praise of good works, and of reliance on the power of Providence to justify its ways to man. Virtue was its own reward, but God would care that no man was the worse here or hereafter for his virtue. The ingenious theory of Dr. Graetz narrowed the motive of Tobit considerably. According to his brilliant and now well-known suggestion, the author of Tobit wished to inculcate the special duty of *burying the dead*, even at the price of adding others to the category. No danger was to be shunned or feared in the furtherance of the pious occupation of interring the dead. Professor Graetz had no difficulty in finding a fitting moment for the promulgation of such a book and such a moral. He found both amid the incidents associated in the reign of Hadrian with the fall of Bar Cochba and the massacre of the gallant defenders of Bether, who were denied even the privilege of interment.

There is no doubt that the references in Tobit to the burial of the dead are strikingly numerous. If one is disinclined to accept Professor Graetz's view, how are these repeated references to be accounted for ?

My own reason for doubting the acceptability of Professor Graetz's hypothesis lies in the peculiar character of chapter iv. of Tobit. Here must be sought the author's intention, for the chapter contains the summary of his view of morality and praiseworthy conduct. The virtue which is chiefly lauded is charity. There is no specific counsel with regard to the duty of burying the dead until the 17th verse is reached, and the allusion is not repeated in the whole chapter. Of course it is hopeless to recover the real text of verse 17. It is